# **EXHIBIT B**

# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

	Ref No. <u>3752 &amp; 3757</u>
Debtors.	(Jointly Administered)
FTX TRADING LTD., et al.,1	Case No. 22-11068 (JTD)
In re:	Chapter 11

# ORDER SUSTAINING DEBTORS' FIFTH (NON-SUBSTANTIVE) OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN NO LIABILITY PROOFS OF CLAIM (NON-CUSTOMER CLAIMS)

Upon the fifth omnibus objection (the "Objection")<sup>2</sup> of FTX Trading Ltd. and its affiliated debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), for entry of an order (this "Order") sustaining the Objection and disallowing and expunging in their entirety the No Liability Claims set forth in Schedule 1 attached hereto, and this Court having jurisdiction to consider the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012; and this Court being able to issue a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and venue of these Chapter 11 Cases and the Objection in this district being proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this matter being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and this Court having found that proper and adequate notice of the Objection and the relief requested therein has been provided in accordance with the Bankruptcy

Rules and the Local Rules, and that, except as otherwise ordered herein, no other or further

The last four digits of FTX Trading Ltd.'s and Alameda Research LLC's tax identification numbers are 3 288 and 4063 respectively. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these Chapter 11 Cases, a complete list of the Debtors and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing agent at https://cases.ra.kroll.com/FTX. The principal place of business of Debtor Emergent Fidelity Technologies Ltd is Unit 3B, Bryson's Commercial Complex, Friars Hill Road, St. John's, Antigua and Barbuda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein are to be given the meanings ascribed to them in the Objection.

notice is necessary; and responses (if any) to the Objection having been withdrawn, resolved or overruled on the merits; and a hearing having been held to consider the relief requested in the Objection and upon the record of the hearing and all of the proceedings had before this Court; and this Court having found and determined that the relief set forth in this Order is in the best interests of the Debtors and their estates; and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor;

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Objection is SUSTAINED as set forth herein.
- 2. Each No Liability Claim set forth in <u>Schedule 1</u> attached hereto is disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 3. This Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object to or defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to any No Liability Claim referenced or identified in the Objection that is not listed on Schedule 1 attached hereto.
- 4. Should one or more of the grounds of objection stated in the Objection be dismissed, the Debtors' right to object on any other grounds that the Debtors discover are preserved.
- 5. To the extent a response is filed regarding any No Liability Claim, each such No Liability Claim, and the Objection as it pertains to such No Liability Claim, will constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order will be deemed a separate order with respect to each No Liability Claim. Any stay of this Order pending appeal by any claimants whose claims are subject to this Order shall only apply to the

contested matter which involves such claimant and shall not act to stay the applicability and/or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters listed in the Objection or this Order.

- 6. The Debtors are authorized and empowered to execute and deliver such documents, and to take and perform all actions necessary to implement and effectuate the relief granted in this Order.
- 7. Nothing in this Order or the Objection is intended or shall be construed as a waiver of any of the rights the Debtors may have to enforce rights of setoff against the claimants.
- 8. Nothing in the Objection or this Order, nor any actions or payments made by the Debtors pursuant to this Order, shall be construed as: (a) an admission as to the amount of, basis for, or validity of any claim against the Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable non-bankruptcy law; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party-in-interest's right to dispute any claim; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Order; (e) an admission as to the validity, priority, enforceability, or perfection of any lien on, security interest in, or other encumbrance on property of the Debtors' estates; or (f) a waiver of any claims or causes of action which may exist against any entity under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.
- 9. This Order is immediately effective and enforceable, notwithstanding the possible applicability of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h) or otherwise.
- 10. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to any matters, claims, rights or disputes arising from or related to the Objection or the implementation of this Order.

## Case 22-11068-JTD Doc 4559-2 Filed 12/07/23 Page 5 of 8

Dated:	
Wilmington, Delaware	The Honorable John T. Dorsey
	United States Bankruptcy Judge

## SCHEDULE 1

**No Liability Claims** 

\_

## No Liability Schedule 1

	CLAIMANT	DATE FILED	CASE#	DEBTOR	CLAIM#	CLAIM AMOUNT
1	Canoy, Dario ADDRESS ON FILE	06/16/2023	22-11068 (JTD)	FTX Trading Ltd.	2090	\$110,000,000.00

Reason: The Claimant did not provide sufficient documentation to allow the Debtors to ascertain the asserted Claim. The Claim is purportedly on account of "IP theft, personal injury." The Claimant only included a note regarding a Michigan state court case number. Upon a review of the referenced case, the Debtors have not been able to identify an active case (the previously referenced complaint has been dismissed). Following a robust review of the Debtors' books and records, the Debtors have not identified any obligation or liability owing to the Claimant.

2 Canoy, Dario 01/20/2023 22-11068 (JTD) FTX Trading Ltd. 511 \$101,000,000.00\* ADDRESS ON

**FILE** 

Reason: The Claimant did not provide sufficient documentation to allow the Debtors to ascertain the asserted Claim. The Claim is purportedly on account of "IPO theft, and raised capital; loans." The Claimant only attached a screenshot of a terminated case and screenshots regarding purchasing and selling NFTs. Upon a review of the referenced case, the Debtors have not been able to identify an active case (the previously referenced complaint has been dismissed). Following a robust review of the Debtors' books and records, the Debtors have not identified any obligation or liability owing to the Claimant.

3Green Healthy House,	<del>pro</del>	<del>and</del>	05/29/2023	22-1	FTX Trading Ltd.
	<del>vide</del>	<del>records,</del>	03/29/2023		r i A i i adilig Lid.
LLC. 9609 S.	<del>d-</del>	the-		1068	
University Blvd	<del>with</del>	<del>Debtors</del>		(JTD	
#631274 Littleton, CO	<del>in-</del>	have not		)	
<del>80163</del>	the-	<del>identifie</del>			
<del>-04/07/2023</del>	<del>clai</del>	<del>d any</del>			
	<del>m</del>	<del>obligatio</del>			
<del>-22-11066 (JTD)</del>	<del>for</del>	n owing			
-Alameda Research LLC	<del>m-</del>	to the			
	and-	<del>claimant.</del>			
- <del>1546</del>	<del>foll</del>	2 49			
<del>-\$121,027,000.00</del>	<del>owi</del>	$\frac{3}{4}$ 4Scott,			
Ψ121,027,000.00	<del>ng a</del>	George			
	rob	Shamond			
Reason: The	<del>ust</del>	ADDRESS			
Claimant did not	<del>revi</del>	ON FILE			
<del>provide any</del>	<del>ew</del> -				
documentation in-	<del>of</del>				
support of the claim.	<del>its-</del>				
Utilizing the	<del>boo</del>				
<del>information</del>	<del>ks</del>				

2320 \$100,000,000.00

Reason: The Claimant did not provide any documentation in support of the claim. The basis for claim is indicated only as "theft." Utilizing the information provided within the claim form and following a robust review of its books and records, the Debtors have not identified any obligation owing to the claimant.

TOTAL

\$4<del>32,027,000.00</del>311,000,000.00\*

\*Indicates claim contains unliquidated and/or undetermined amounts

1